

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/398,222	09/398,222 09/17/1999		SAIMA KHAN	DAVOX-171XX	9791	
28452	7590	12/01/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
•		OCIATES, P.A.	PIZARRO, RICARDO M			
835 HANOV SUITE 303	ER STRE	EET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MANCHEST	ΓER, NH	03104	2661			

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/398,222	KHAN, SAIMA						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Ricardo Pizarro	2661						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sid(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Oc	<u>ctober 2004</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-13</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Di	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atomersphication (i 10-102)						

Application/Control Number: 09/398,222

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Finality of the Office Action dated 7/24/04 is hereby withdrawn

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glowny. In view of Edwards

U.S. patent No. 6,785,370 (Glowny et al) discloses a System for integrating call record information, comprising a plurality of distributed call centers (col 6 lines 55-57), each of said plurality of call centers including a CTI system (each provided with a CTI server, col 6 lines 58-60) having a dynamic data record exclusion system ((col 56 lines 63-67, col 57 lines 1-11), each including an exclusion table (selective record, col 55 lines 65-67), said plurality of distributed call centers linked over a computer network (Lan col 1 line 23), as in claim 1.

Glowny did not specifically disclose said system capable of dynamically transferring a data record between said plurality of distributed call centers neither disclosed

U.S. patent No. 6,744,877 (Edwards) discloses a Method for enterprise service balancing comprising a system capable of dynamically transferring a data record

between a plurality of call centers (col 12 lines 65-67, col 13 lines 1-8 and 29-32), as in claim 1.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the dynamic transferring of data among centers in order to provide a communication system having multiple call centers, wherein each call center utilizes a communication link capable of exchanging information and data with any or all associated call centers within the system.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claim 14 is allowed.
- 4. Claims 2-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 5. The response received on 10/01/04 including documents filed under Rule 132 are sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims based upon Smith.
- 6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

(for formal communications intended for entry, for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Ricardo Pizarro** whose telephone number is (703) 305-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9314.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Kenneth Vanderpuye**, can be reached on (571) 271-3078.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

November 27, 2004

Ricardo M. Pizarro

CEMNETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER